

REMARKS

Claim 6 was objected to for informalities. Applicant has amended claim 6 per this response and amendment, and thus, the need for correction is believed to be no longer applicable.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ciociola (US 4,662,519). Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barlow (US 3,589,724). Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bossack (US 3,178,060). Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ciociola in view of US Design D262,047.


Applicant has amended claims 1, and thus depending claims, to more clearly limit the invention to having, “a cosmetic device, stored in said first building, simulating a typical protrusion from a building.” In the case of claim 6, Applicant has amended it to limit the invention by having, “a liquid, capable of being dispensed from said simulated phone booth to simulate data movement.”

The present invention is different than the cited art, in view of the amendment because none of the cited art provides objects that are placed in simulated street scenes to further the street scenes to appear more simulated – as explained in the specification of the present invention. Restated, the present invention provides objects to be placed in a street scene that make the scene more convincing. In other words, the cited art might be capable of holding objects in a simulated street scene, but such objects are not part of the other inventions, and there is no suggestion, teaching, or reason in the other inventions to

place cosmetic objects in a simulated street scene to make the simulated street scene appear even more realistic.

Applicant petitions for a three month extension past the shortened-statutory period for response. Please charge all fees due and owing to Deposit Account No. 500356 in the name of Greenberg & Lieberman.

Respectfully submitted,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael L. Greenberg, Esq." The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent peak in the middle.

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312

I hereby certify that this amendment and response was mailed to the
Commissioner of Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 12, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael L. Greenberg, Esq." The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent peak at the end.

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312